## 2008 LTER Agronomic Protocol Kellogg Biological Station

## Micro-plots in Treatments 3 and 4

In 2006 a new experiment was established within the LTER main site treatments 3 and 4 plots to determine how crop yield is influenced by weed populations and nitrogen availability. Six treatments were established as split-plots within the LTER main site treatments 3 and 4: three weed control treatments (business-as-usual vs. none vs. complete) x two fertilizer levels (none vs. same rate as T1).

Micro-plot definition: A sub plot or split plot treated differently from the LTER main site plots. The size of the LTER main site plots are one hectare.

Micro-plot size and location: Micro-plots were 15 feet wide by 50 feet long, only 40 feet of each plot was harvested; we removed 5 feet from both ends of each plot before harvest. Micro-plots were located in the northwest corner of all treatment 3 and 4 main site plots.

Descriptions of the six treatments used within the micro-plots follow:

Business as usual (normal) weed control + Fertilizer (BAU + Fert): if the main plot was rotary hoed, row cultivated, or band sprayed these plots received the same field operation and fertilizer was applied at the same rate as applied to the LTER main site treatment 1 plots.

Business as usual (normal) weed control – Fertilizer (BAU – Fert): if the main plot was rotary hoed, row cultivated, or band sprayed these plots received the same field operation and no fertilizer was applied.

Weed Free (complete) weed control + Fertilizer (WF + Fert): no weeds were allowed to become established and fertilizer was applied at the same rate as applied to the LTER main site treatment 1 plots.

Weed Free (complete) weed control – Fertilizer (WF – Fert): no weeds were allowed to become established and no fertilizer was applied.

No Weed Control + Fertilizer (NWC + Fert): plots were allowed to grow without any form of weed control and fertilizer was applied at the same rate as applied to the LTER main site treatment 1 plots.

No Weed Control – Fertilizer (NWC – Fert): plots were allowed to grow without any form of weed control and no fertilizer was

2007 Treatment 3 randomization of micro-plots

	= 11	catifient 3 failuo	inization of micro	0-plots				
1	Rep 1 I	BAU + Fert	NWC - Fert		INT. F.			
	Rep 2	WF + Fert X		RALL+ Fort	WF + Fert X	BAU - Fert	WF - Fert	N
	Rep 3			WE Fell	NWC + Fert	BAU - Fert	WF - Fert	1
			11/2	WF + Fert	D 411	NWC + Fert	BAU - Fert	- 1
			BAU + Fert X		DAU + Fert X		NWC + FertX	
		DALL -		1010	WF + Fert		WF - Fert	
			5,10 1 611	vvr + ren	NWC + Fert	WF - Fert	NWC - Fert	

2007 Treatment 4 randomization of micro-plots

	oddinent 4 rando	inization of micro	D-plots				
Rep 1	NWC + Fert	BAU - Fert	WF + Fert X	DALLE		4-6-1-1	
Rep 2			111110		WF - Fert	NWC - Fert	N
Rep 3						NWC + Fert	1
Rep 4	BAU + Fert X		1.1.1.	WF + Fert	NWC + Fert	WF - Fert	
		BAU + Fert		vvr - ren	NWC - Fert	NWC + Fert	
Rep 6	WF - Fert		NWC + Fert		BAU - Fert	NWC + Fert	
			1444C + Felt	WF + Fert		BAU - Fert	

## MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY

## CONFIRMED APPLICATION REPORT

DATE: 03/03/00		REENT	RY DATE/TIME	: DRY
DESCRIPTION OF AREA:_	73	874	MICROP	075
<b>WEATHER CONDITIONS:</b> SUNNY PA	RTLY SUNNY	PARTYL CLOU	DY CLOUDY	RAINY
TIME: AIR TEMPERATU WIND DIRECTION WIND VELOCITY RELATIVE HUMIN COMMENTS:	N:	10:30 WSW 5-10		AM PM °C mph %
OB PERFORMED: PLANT/TRANSPLANT PURPOSE OF APPLICATION:			ERTILIZE	OTHER
QUIPMENT USED: AIR-BLAST HAND SPR PECIFIC INFORMATION:	AYER HANDG	UN CO <sub>2</sub> g	OOM SPRAYER	OTHER
CROP	NAME/FORMUL	LATION		TOTAL PRODUCT
CHEMICAL				
FERTILIZER INOCULUM MANURE OTHER	20 186.	(9-17-0	26 gal	A
FHER COMMENTS:  Equipment used: JD 5220 trace with a hydraulic centrifugal spaced 30 was & gallons / acre.  DIVIDUAL PERFORMING W	inches apart with a to ORK:	n (gear C+, 1450 aven 440 automat otal boom length of	rpm) with a pull typic carrier control unof \$0 feet. 10-20 psi	it. Turbo TeeJet 11005 was used. Application rate
ESEARCH PROJECT LEADER				
			LELEPHON	L: